IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 20415 of 2004**

Between:

P. Krishna , S/o Papaiah,
R/o H.No. 1-9-135, Ramnagar, Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Commissioner of Civil Supplies, A.P. Somajiguda, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Rationing Officer, Hyderabad, near Anand Talkies, Secunderabad.
3 District Supply Officer, Hyderabad ( city), near Anand Talkies, Secunderabad.

**.....RESPONDENT(S)**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ or direction preferably writ of mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in notifying the vacancies of Fair Price Shop Nos. 628 and 644 as per the proceedings of the 1st respondent issued in revision No. N1/555/2003 dt. 7-3-2003 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to notify the above vacancies and commence the selection process without any further delay and also consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on regular basis as a dealer in the light of the observations made in the above proceedings.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES**

**The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :**

**ORDER:**

-

-

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents are not notifying the vacancy of fair price shop Nos.628 and 644 of Secunderabad. Reference is made to various proceedings initiated by the petitioner.

After the writ petition is filed, the respondents have issued a notification inviting applications for filling the vacancies. In that view of the matter, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed. Petitioner states that he is now over aged by two months and the disqualification incurred by him is on account of inaction on the part of the respondents.

From a perusal of the order passed by the appellate authority, it is evident that the case of the petitioner was directed to be considered.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of directing that, in case, the petitioner submits his application, the respondents shall consider the same without insisting on the qualifications as to age. There shall be no order as to costs

_____

**L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J**

Dated : 30th December, 2004

TS

To

1 The Commissioner of Civil Supplies, A.P. Somajiguda, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Rationing Officer, Hyderabad, near Anand Talkies, Secunderabad.
3 District Supply Officer, Hyderabad ( city), near Anand Talkies, Secunderabad.

4 2 CCs to the Government Pleader for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

5 2CD copies.